## PDR RID Report

Originator Omar Spaulding Phone No 202-358-077

Organization NASA HQ/Code YD

E Mail Address ospaulding@mtpe.hq.nasa.gov

**Document** Presentation

202-358-0777 RID ID PDR 175

Review CSMS

Originator Ref OYS-HQ-004

Priority 1

Section Overview Page EL-20 Figure Table

Category Name Design-Segment-level Actionee HAIS

Sub CategoryTrue Cost of CotsSubjectCSMS Design Practice

## Description of Problem or Suggestion:

It is not clear from the presentation how modifications are made to new or existing COTS hardware/software and how enhancements/equipment upgrades are to be made. There is no documented requirement process or documentation itself which addresses implementation of new design capabilities and performance characteristics that are to be developed by new/old COTS vendors. Standard documentation given to a vendor often includes CSCI/CSC, interface requirement specification, program design language; PDL structure, interface requirement/control documents on existing hardware, none of which was discussed in relationship to COTS.

## Originator's Recommendation

Develop a process which ensures COTS systems venders will integrate, follow program methodologies, program requirements and meet the intended capabilities of system or subsystem that are incorporated into the program design.

GSFC Response by: GSFC Response Date

HAIS Response by: Forman HAIS Schedule 2/17/95

HAIS R. E. Armstrong HAIS Response Date 2/28/95

We agree that COTS vendor development and integration practices, including their methodologies and policies for product upgrades, are beyond ECS control. However, in the procurement of COTs products, we take into consideration a number of factors that reflect the quality of the vendor's product. These include vendor upgrade policies, level of technical support, documentation, and adherence to standards. In addition, CSMS prototypes with candidate COTS products typically provide excellent insight into product quality.

Since COTS vendors are building to a larger market than a single program, COTS vendors will not customize their products specifically to the ECS domain. Therefore, although our RFP will reference functionality that relates to ECS requirements, we do not want vendors to create a "special" version of their products which will result in increase maintenance costs. We do, however, encourage vendors to support open system concepts. Our choice of COTS is based on the degree to which a product satisfies requirements, with consideration for vendor practices that relate to upgrade and technical support.

Status Closed Date Closed 3/8/95 Sponsor Daly

\*\*\*\*\*\* Attachment if any \*\*\*\*\*\*

Date Printed: 3/10/95 Page: 1 Official RID Report